

**Agenda – Distributed 04.11.2021**

**KENNEL UNION OF SOUTHERN AFRICA  
AGENDA FOR A VIRTUAL MEETING OF THE FEDERAL COUNCIL TO BE HELD ON  
FRIDAY, SATURDAY & SUNDAY, 3-5 DECEMBER 2021,  
VIA SKYPE**

ITEM	Sub Item	Sub Item	AGENDA
1			<b>ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES &amp; ANNOUNCEMENTS</b>
2			<b>MINUTES</b>
	2.1		The Minutes of the meeting held on 26 & 27 June 2021 to be formally adopted.
	2.2		The Minutes of the Special meeting held on 16 August 2021 to be formally adopted.
3			<b>ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS</b>
	3.1		<b>Annual Report by the Chairman</b>
	3.2		<b>Article 12.5 - Declaration of Interests</b> The Article reads: <i>“Any member nominated to sit on the Federal Council, Executive Committee of the Kennel Union as well as the Executive Members (Chairman, Vice-Chairman, Secretary, Treasurer) of any Provincial Council of the Kennel Union, shall declare within one (1) month of appointment to the Secretary in writing all their interests, of whatsoever nature, in dog related activities. Where the governing body of the Kennel Union, or such person or persons to whom the authority is delegated, determine that any interests are in conflict with those of the Kennel Union, such nominated members’ appointment shall ipso facto be terminated.</i>
		3.2.1	Fedco Members
		3.2.2	Exco Members
	3.3		<b>Audited Balance Sheet, Accounts and Auditors’ Report for the Year Ended 31.08.2021</b> Documents will be circulated prior to the meeting
	3.4		<b>Election of Auditor</b> The current Auditors are Sprigg Abbott Inc
	3.5		<b>Article 16.2.2</b>
		3.5.1	<b>Election of Chairman of the Federal Council and Election of President – Article 16.2.2.1</b> The current Chairman, Clr JM Hubbard, retires on 31.12.2024 The current President, Mrs DE Powell, retires on 31.12.2024
		3.5.2	<b>Election of Vice-Chairman – Article 16.2.2.2</b> The incumbent Vice-Chairman of the Federal Council, Clr BG Robinson, retires on 31.12.2021  Election of a Vice-Chairman of the Federal Council for a two-year term, ending 31.12.2023
		3.5.3	<b>Election of Patron – Article 16.2.2.3</b> <i>“The Federal Council shall elect a Patron; Life Members; Life Vice-Presidents; and any Vice-Presidents on termination of their fourth year in office.”</i>  The late Mr GRP Eva passed away on 17 March 2021.  Election of Patron in accordance with Article 16.2.2.3 to serve for the balance of the term until 31.12.2024
		3.5.4	<b>Election of Life Members – Article 16.2.2.3</b> <i>“It was decided at Fedco 12-2019 “to adopt a term of at least four (4) years’ service on either Fedco, or Exco, as a suitable and currently the only criterion for bestowing Life Membership on a person who had served KUSA in a voluntary capacity at the highest level of governance.”</i>  List of Life Members: Mrs JD Barrow Brig Gen NJ du Preez Mr GR Eva (deceased in 2021) Mr CJL Griffith

		<p>Mrs JM Hubbard  Mr C Huyzers  Mrs CM Immelman  Mr RB Jenkins  Mr RD Juckes  Mrs S Palmer (deceased in 2020)  Mrs DE Powell  Mr DC Sparg  Mr BG Robinson  Mrs L Wilson  Adv R Zeeman</p>
	<b>3.5.5</b>	<p><b>Election of Life Vice-Presidents – Article 16.2.2.3</b>  List of current Life Vice-Presidents:  Mr GRP Eva (deceased in 2021)  Mr NS Kay  Mrs DE Powell  Dr HRA van der Merwe  Mr CJL Griffith</p>
	<b>3.5.6</b>	<p><b>Election of Vice-Presidents – Article 16.2.2.3</b>  List of current Vice-Presidents:  Mr RB Jenkins – retires 31.12.2022  Mrs A Olivier – retires 31.12.2023  <i>The following Vice-Presidents retire on 31.12.24:</i>  Mrs JD Barrow  Mrs S Bloomfield</p>
	<b>3.5.7</b>	<p><b>Appointment of Legal Adviser to KUSA</b>  <i>At Fedco 12-2018, it was resolved that Brig Gen NJ du Preez should continue as Legal Adviser to the KUSA on an indefinite basis.</i>  The current Legal Adviser to KUSA is Brig Gen NJ du Preez.</p>
	<b>3.5.8</b>	<p><b>Article 16.2.3 – Appeals Committee</b>  <i>“The Federal Council shall nominate at least three (3) members of the Federal Council, who shall act as an Appeals Committee on behalf of the Federal Council to consider any appeals lodged in terms of the Disciplinary Rules.”</i>  <i>Note: It was customary for all Federal Councillors to be elected to the Appeals Committee. Three members were appointed to consider an Appeal, when necessary.</i></p>
	<b>3.6</b>	<p><b>Loss of Affiliation – Article 9</b> (Fedco 06.2019; Fedco 12.2017; Fedco 6.2020 &amp; Fedco 12.2020)  <i>Note: The following ruling was made at Fedco 06-2017:</i>  <i>“Effective 01.01.2018, no licences will be issued for either Championship, or Non-Championship, Shows, unless Clubs are up to date with all returns required in terms of the KUSA Constitution.”</i></p> <p><i>The following ruling was made at Fedco 12-2017:</i>  <i>“Clubs that fail to comply with the provisions of Articles 9.1.3 and 9.1.4 for a period of eighteen months from the Fedco Meeting where the breach was reported, will be recommended for disaffiliation.”</i></p> <p><i>(Note from Fedco 06-2020:</i>  <i>During the ensuing discussion, it was noted that, as a result of the above-mentioned Fedco rulings made in 2017, there had been a marked improvement in the timely submission of AGM documentation by Clubs. However, there were still a few “repeat offenders” and it was <b>agreed, unanimously</b>, that the overdue Clubs in red should be sent a notice advising that, should the outstanding documentation not be received within three months from the date of the notice, the Clubs in question would be recommended for disaffiliation at Fedco 12-2020.)</i></p> <p><i>It was <b>unanimously confirmed</b> that the KUSA Office should continue with the policy as agreed at Fedco 06-2020 and recommend Clubs for disaffiliation at future Fedco meetings should they fail to meet the deadlines for submission of documentation as required per the final notices.</i></p>
	<b>3.6.1</b>	<p><b>Article 9.1.3</b>  <i>“Failure to submit minutes of Annual and/or Special General Meetings together with audited Financial Statements and balance sheets where applicable in terms of Article 8.6.2.”</i></p>

		Information to be tabled prior to the meeting.
	<b>3.6.2</b>	<p><b>Article 9.1.4</b>  <i>“9.1.4 Failure to adhere to Kennel Union Constitutional requirements in respect of:</i></p> <p>9.1.4.1 <i>Any event to be held, being held, or which was held under a Kennel Union licence.</i></p> <p>9.1.4.2 <i>Schedule of Documents and Returns to be sent to the Secretary of KUSA, Schedule 8.”</i></p> <p><b>Information to be tabled prior to the meeting.</b></p>
	<b>3.6.3</b>	<p><b>Article 9.2</b>  <i>Whenever an Affiliated Club’s annual affiliation fee remains unpaid one (1) month after the date of renewal, the Secretary shall send a written demand calling for payment forthwith and in the event of the fee remaining unpaid on the thirty-first (31st) day of October, the Club in default shall be removed from the list of Affiliated Clubs.</i></p> <p><b>Information to be tabled prior to the meeting.</b></p>
	<b>3.7</b>	<p><b>K9 Administration Upgrade Project</b></p> <p><b>Report to be tabled.</b></p>
	<b>3.8</b>	<b>FCI</b>
	<b>3.8.1</b>	<p><b>Rhodesian Ridgeback</b></p> <p><b>Report on developments, if any.</b></p>
	<b>3.8.2</b>	<p><b>FCI Non-Mandatory Commissions (Fedco 12-2020 item 3.11.2)</b>  <i>As agreed at Fedco 12-2015, representatives should either be Fedco Members, Conveners of National Sub-Committees, or persons deemed suitable by Fedco. Appointees needed to have a thorough knowledge of the Discipline/subject in question.</i></p> <p>The following persons were appointed, or re-appointed, to serve as KUSA’s representatives on the FCI’s Non-Mandatory Commissions:  Agility – Mr Rob Hall  Breeding – Clr Ron Jukes  Canicross – Mr Kyle Farmer  Dog Dancing – Ms Kathy Clayton  Flyball – Ms Liesl Twyman  Rally Obedience – Mr Tinus van der Vyver  Rescue Dogs – Mrs Robyn Harflett  Shows – Clr Jenny Hubbard  Show Judges – Clr Gael Morison  Utility Dogs – Mr John Rautenbach  Youth – Ms Andria Haskins  Education and Public Relations – Clr Lori Thornhill  Mondioring – Mr Keith Duranty</p> <p><b>Noted and agreed, unanimously.</b></p> <p><b>Representatives to be reviewed.</b></p>
	<b>3.8.3</b>	<p><b>FCI Executive Director’s COVID-19 Statement dated 7 January 2021 to FCI Americas and Caribbean &amp; Asia, Africa and Oceania Sections – Requirement for the Titles of FCI International Champion (C.I.B &amp; C.I.E) (Fedco 06.2021 Item 3.8.3; Fedco 08.2021 Item 3.2.2)</b></p> <p><i>Confirmation of the commencement date of the FCI concession was required in order to enable GR to prepare statement for the KUSA website and Facebook page. It was noted that the FCI had confirmed in an email dated 2 August 2021 that the commencement date for the concession was 1 January 2020. There was, however, still confusion around the fact whether FCI-C.A.C.I.B.s® earned prior to the commencement date could be combined with those earned after the commencement date, and whether FCI-C.A.C.I.B.s® earned during the concession period could later be used to supplement those earned</i></p>

		<p>after the concession period. The KUSA Statement would be prepared as soon as clarity had been obtained.</p> <p><b>Report-back by KUSA Office.</b></p>
3.9	3.9.1	<p><b>Fedco Portfolios</b> (Fedco 12.2021 Item 3.13)  <i>Fedco Members' Portfolios were updated at Fedco 12-2020 as follows:</i></p> <p><b>Clr JM Hubbard</b>  <i>Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI), Budgets, Finance, Breed Health, Mondioring, Rescue Dogs, Extraordinary Registrations, Internationale Gebrauchshunde Prüfungsordnung (IGP) and Tracking Trials</i></p> <p><b>Clr CM Immelman</b>  <i>Breed Shows, Handling, Registrations, Exports, Information Technology, Digital Communications, KUSA Web &amp; Social Media.</i></p> <p><b>Clr RB Jenkins</b>  <i>Finance, Breed Health</i></p> <p><b>Clr RD Jukes</b>  <i>DNA, Genetic Health Issues, Dog Dancing, Working Trials</i></p> <p><b>Clr G Morison (offered to assist CI where appropriate and when required)</b>  <i>Shows, Carting, Canicross</i></p> <p><b>Clr DC Sparg</b>  <i>Agility, Dog Jumping, Field Trials, Obedience</i></p> <p><b>Clr BG Robinson</b>  <i>Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI), Finance, Budgets, KUSA Constitution &amp; Schedules, Club Constitutions &amp; By-laws, Provincial Council Constitutions &amp; By-laws, KUSA Membership, Media/Press</i></p> <p><b>Clr L Thornhill</b>  <i>Information Technology, Handling, DNA, Genetic Health Issues, Canine Good Citizen (CGC), Flyball, Rally Dog, Digital Communication, KUSA Web &amp; Social Media</i></p> <p><b>Noted and agreed.</b></p> <p><b>Fedco Members' Portfolios to be reviewed.</b></p>
	3.9.2	<p><b>Guidelines for Fedco Portfolio-holders</b>  Internal Office Document drafted and submitted to Fedco for input.</p> <p><b>Feedback required.</b></p>
3.10		<b>KUSA Office – Relocation</b>
	3.10.1	<p><b>KUSA Office – Lease Agreement</b> (Interim) (Fedco 06.2021 item 8.7)  <i>Round robin email generated to Fedco on 29 September 2021 and concluded on 30 September 2021. Proposal to grant authorisation for the General Manager to sign the Lease Agreement with the AfricaWorks Group.</i></p> <p><i>The Terms and Conditions of the Lease Agreement require that a Primary Member be appointed by the Lessee.</i></p> <p><i>The Primary Member is defined in the Lease Agreement document as “the only Member authorised to make changes to or terminate this agreement and receive or give notice under this agreement.”</i></p> <p><i>The Primary Member is responsible for the adherence to rules and policies by the Members (KUSA Staff) who are authorised to use the leased office space and authorised to receive benefits of membership.</i></p> <p><i>Approved, unanimously.</i></p> <p><b>For ratification.</b></p>
	3.10.2	<p><b>Proposal from the KUSA Office that the General Manager be vested with the necessary powers to execute Agreements on behalf of KUSA, instead of having to seek such permission by Fedco round robin every time an agreement binding KUSA requires signing.</b></p> <p><b>Motivation:</b>  Seeking permission from Fedco by round robin every time an agreement needs to be signed, which has been pre-approved by the Legal Adviser, or by Fedco, is proving a time-consuming procedure and one</p>

		<p>which causes unnecessary delays in KUSA's operations. The Legal Adviser had therefore recommended to the KUSA Office to seek Fedco's approval for the General Manager to be entrusted with the responsibility of signing off on routine agreements and, in the case of agreements that are out of the ordinary, once they have been scrutinised and approved by the Legal Adviser.</p> <p><b>For decision.</b></p>
	3.10.3	<p><b>KUSA Office – Relocation Strategy Plan (Fedco 08.2021 Item 3.3)</b></p> <p><i>The Chairman thanked RJ for his kind offer to assist the KUSA Office with finding suitable permanent premises. She requested RJ to apprise Fedco how he might be able to be of assistance to the KUSA Office.</i></p> <p><i>RJ reported that he had a close friend, who was in charge of a large property company. His friend was involved in dogs and RJ believed he might be prepared to place his professional services at the disposal of KUSA. He would, however, require a brief from the KUSA Office on preferred locations, space requirements, price range, parking needs, etc., before the scouting could commence. Once potential suitable properties have been identified, RJ declared himself prepared to spend some time in Cape Town in order to accompany KF to the various sites with a view to compiling a short-list for Fedco's consideration. PM said that she and KF had discussed KUSA's requirements at length, and that KF would be preparing a detailed brief in due course. In identifying suitable locations, they had consulted with the KUSA staff who were reliant on public transport in their commute to the Office. The Chairman appealed to KF to have the brief required by RJ ready by the end of October but, if this was not possible given the upheaval of the move, then by no later than Fedco 12-2021.</i></p> <p><i>BJ reminded Fedco that All-breeds Judge, Mrs Liz Raubenheimer, was also a Cape Town-based estate agent and therefore a potentially useful contact. The Chairman thanked BJ for this information and stressed that this was a major step for KUSA and one in which the organisation could not afford to make a poor choice.</i></p> <p><b>Report-back.</b></p>
	3.11	<p><b>Provincial Councils – Dispensation to Extend Date of Acceptance for nominations for Fedco.</b> (Fedco round robin 03.09.2021 – 07.09.2021)</p> <p><i>Round robin email generated on 03 September 2021 and concluded on 07 September 2021.</i></p> <p><i>Dispensation sought to extend the date of Acceptance for Nominations from 31 August 2021 to 15 September 2021 for the positions of Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Provincial Councils of KwaZulu-Natal, Free State &amp; Northern Cape and Eastern Cape &amp; Borde.</i> <i>Fedco's consideration would be greatly appreciated.</i></p> <p><b><u>Background:</u></b> <i>Due to unforeseen circumstances and in order to nominate/elect a new Chairman, an extension is required in accordance with the Provincial Council Constitutions Clauses 16.1.4 &amp; 16.1.4.1.</i></p> <p><i>16.1.4: At the Annual General Meeting, the Members of DOGSPC shall elect</i> <i>16.1.4.1: A Chairman and, in alternate years, a Vice-Chairman, each of whom shall hold office for a period of two (2) years and retire at the close of the second Annual General Meeting following their respective elections, unless re-elected for a further term.</i></p> <p><b>For ratification.</b></p>
	3.12	<p><b>3.12.1 Protection of Personal Information Act (POPIA), No. 4 of 2013</b></p> <p><b>Progress report.</b></p>
		<p><b>3.12.2 Notification to Clubs – from 1 July 2021 only Owners Names to be listed in Show/Events Catalogues in accordance with the requirements under the Protection of Personal Information Act (POPIA) (Fedco 06-2021 item 8.8.2)</b></p> <p><i>NdP advised that, although the KUSA Official Show Entry Form contained the following statement: "Unless otherwise indicated, I consent to the publication of my contact details in the Show Catalogue</i></p>

		<p><i>and the posting of the Show Catalogue on the KUSA website” and provided for acceptance or rejection by way of two tick-boxes, he thought that KUSA should err on the side of caution and not permit personal information to be published in Clubs’ Show Catalogues, effective 1 July 2021. At present, it was not clear whether a statutory provision could be overridden by the type of consent given in the Official Show Entry Form and, until we had confirmation of that, it was prudent to confine the published information to the Owner’s Name and KUSA Membership No.</i></p> <p><i>NdP’s advice was <b>accepted, unanimously</b>. Proposed CI, seconded NdP. Effective immediately.</i></p> <p><b>Feedback required.</b></p>
<b>4</b>		<b>MATTERS ARISING</b>
	<b>4.1</b>	<b>Any Matters Related to the Articles</b>
	<b>4.1.1</b>	<p><b>Article 16.2.3 – Proposal for a National Rally Dog Sub-Committee to be established.</b></p> <p><b>Proposal</b> received via the Western Cape Provincial Council, put forward by the WCPC Rally Dog Sub-Committee on behalf of itself and on behalf of the KZNPC Rally Dog Sub-Committee and the DOGSPC Rally Dog Sub-Committee.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> Rally Dog Standing Sub-Committees have been established under three (3) Provincial Councils and a 4<sup>th</sup> Sub-Committee with Ad hoc status under the NAPC is set to “convert” to a Standing Sub-Committee at the next meeting of the NAPC, which will allow it to join the Rally Dog National Sub-Committee.</p> <p>The proposal is supported by the following <b>attached</b> documentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The National Rally Dog Sub-Committee By-laws</li> <li>• A letter from the KZNPC Rally Dog Sub-Committee</li> <li>• Emails from the DOGSPC Rally Dog Sub-Committee and the Chairman of DOGSPC, Mr Gérard Robinson, showing support of the establishment of the new National Rally Dog Sub-Committee.</li> <li>• The WCPC Chairman, Mrs Lori Thornhill, is also in full support of the establishment of the new National Rally Dog Sub-Committee.</li> </ul> <p>Existing Rally Dog Standing Sub-Committees are as follows:</p> <p><b>Western Cape</b> Robyn Clements, Chairman, KUSA Member 1019940 Chantal Ross-Germishuys, Vice-Chairman, KUSA Member 1030919 Britta van der Merwe, Secretary, KUSA Member 1048359 Marie Sparks, Treasurer, KUSA Member 163540 Tinus van der Vyver, KUSA Member 173907 Silvia Stringer, KUSA Member 1021337 Samantha Kirkbride</p> <p><b>KwaZulu-Natal</b> Edward Sutcliffe, Chairman, KUSA Member 130695 Fran Hamilton, Secretary, KUSA Member 10298 Cherry Nel, Treasurer, KUSA Member 169056 Kathy Drummond, KUSA Member 1007129 Edit Gallant, KUSA Member 19851 Debbie Sutcliff, KUSA Member 60975 Eleanor Gow, KUSA Member 95862 Tracey Manthey, KUSA Member 128026</p> <p><b>DOGSPC (Gauteng)</b> Helen Ramsay, Chairman, KUSA Member 61116 Denise Ramsey, Vice-Chairman, KUSA Member 111441 Sue Woodiwiss, Secretary/Treasurer, KUSA Member 41501</p> <p>Each Provincial Standing Sub-Committee has nominated its Representative to serve on the National Rally Dog Sub-Committee for a <b>four-year term</b>, being:</p>

		<p><b>WCPC - Robyn Clements, KUSA Member 1019940</b>  <b>KZNPC - Edward Sutcliffe, KUSA Member 61116</b>  <b>DOGSPC - Helen Ramsay, KUSA Member 130695</b></p> <p>We propose that the Rally Dog National Sub-Committee commences operations under its elected Representatives on 01 January 2022.</p> <p>The above Representatives of the WCPC, KZNPC have nominated  <b>Robyn Clements, KUSA Member 1019940</b>  as the National Convener for the Rally Dog Sub-Committee for a <b>four-year term</b>.</p> <p>The Representatives elect of the newly-established National Rally Dog Sub-Committee await Fedco 12-2021's favourable response from to their proposal.</p> <p><b>For decision.</b></p>
<b>4.2</b>		<b>Any Matters Relating to Disciplinary Action – Schedule 1</b>
	<b>4.2.1</b>	<p><b>In the matter of Mrs P de Coning vs Mrs M McCluskey</b>  The following complaint was finalised and details circulated to Exco on 07.07.2021 and subsequently notified to the Fedco:</p> <p>The Disciplinary Sub-Committee (DSC) of KUSA considered the complaint lodged against Mrs McCluskey by Mrs De Coning. The hearing, by teleconference on 1 July 2021, was conducted exclusively on the papers presented by both parties.  It was noted that Mrs McCluskey did not elect to enter an appearance at the hearing, but had made an admission to the complaint levelled against her. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the DSC accepted that the admission was made freely and voluntarily and subsequently unanimously found Mrs McCluskey <b>guilty</b> of discreditable conduct in breach of Schedule 3 Regulation 37.3 of the KUSA Constitution.</p> <p>The DSC imposed a sentence of a “Reprimand and a Warning” to Mrs McCluskey in terms of Rule 11.1.7 of Schedule 1.</p> <p>No appeal was lodged.  <b>For noting.</b></p>
	<b>4.2.2</b>	<p><b>In the matter of KUSA vs Mrs D Cronjé</b>  The following complaint has been finalised and details circulated to Exco on 05.08.2021 and subsequently notified to the Fedco:</p> <p>The Disciplinary Sub-Committee (DSC) of KUSA considered the complaint lodged against Mrs Cronjé brought by the KUSA Secretary, Mr Kyle Farmer. The hearing, by teleconference on 22 July 2021, was conducted exclusively on the papers presented by both parties.  It was noted that Mrs Cronjé did not elect to enter an appearance at the hearing itself, but had made an admission to the complaint levelled against her. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the DSC accepted that the admission was made freely and voluntarily and subsequently unanimously found Mrs Cronjé guilty of discreditable conduct in breach of Schedule 3 Regulation 37.3 of the KUSA Constitution.</p> <p>The DSC imposed a sentence of a Fine in terms of Rule 11.1.6 of Schedule 1, payable to KUSA by 31 August 2021, in the amount of R500; and in terms of Rule 11.1.7 of Schedule 1 a “Reprimand and a Warning”.</p> <p>No appeal was lodged.  <b>For noting.</b></p>
	<b>4.2.3</b>	<p><b>Proposed amendments to Schedule 1 – Disciplinary Rules</b></p> <p><b>Housekeeping:</b></p> <p>Insertions <u>underlined</u>.</p>

		<p><b>2. SUBJECT OF A COMPLAINT</b>  2.6 a person appointed to officiate or judge/evaluate at a show or event held under a KUSA licence or sanctioned by KUSA, who has violated a condition of a Judge's/Evaluator's or other agreement or who has acted in a improper, disgraceful, or discreditable manner during the execution of his or her judging/evaluating assignment;</p> <p><b>3. WHO MAY LODGE A COMPLAINT</b>  3.4 a person appointed to officiate or judge/evaluate at a show or event held under a KUSA licence or sanctioned by KUSA, and who is not a member of the KUSA but who is contractually bound to adhere to the KUSA Constitution.</p> <p><b>4. FOUNDATION FOR A COMPLAINT</b>  4.1.1.2 the breeding, registration, recording, transfer of ownership, exhibition and judging/evaluating of dogs;  4.1.1.5 the violation of the conditions of a Judge's/Evaluator's contract or other agreement with KUSA or any Club or PC;  4.1.3 any default or omission relating to a matter connected with the registration, recording, transfer of ownership, breeding, entry for a KUSA-licensed Show or event, or exhibition and judging/evaluating of dogs.  4.2.2 unless it contains sworn evidence of clear prejudice to KUSA or its officials or Judges/Evaluators or members or a Club or a PC or as provided for in Schedule 3 Regulation 37 of the KUSA Constitution or that is prejudicial or injurious to the interests of canine affairs.  4.6 No complaint may be made against a Judge/Evaluator who officiated at a KUSA licensed Show or event based on his or her placement of a dog or any award made to any dog during the Show.</p> <p><b>5. PROCEDURE FOR BRINGING A COMPLAINT</b>  5.1.1 As an affidavit which must set forth the material facts on which the complaint relies, including full particulars of the act, conduct, default or omission alleged against a member, person, Judge/Evaluator, official, Club or PC;</p> <p>11.2 If the Respondent is a person officiating or judging/evaluating at a Show or an event held under KUSA licence, or held in consequence of, or in accordance with, the provisions of Article 3.19 and/or Article 22 of the KUSA Constitution, or that is sanctioned by KUSA in terms of a valid judging/evaluating contract or by official appointment, one or more of the following penalties, where applicable, may be imposed by the DSC for a complaint with regard to the execution of such an appointment or judging/evaluating contract:  11.2.2 suspension or exclusion from officiating or judging/evaluating at, or having any official connection with, or participating in an official capacity in, a Show, Obedience Class, Working Trial or Field Trial or other event held under KUSA licence, or held consequence of, or in accordance with, the provisions of Article 3.20 and/or Article 22 of the KUSA Constitution or from acting as an officer of a Club;  11.2.3 disqualification from judging/evaluating at, or taking part in the management of, a Show, Obedience Class, Working Trial or Field Trial or other event held under KUSA licence, or held consequence of, or in accordance with, the provisions of Article 3.20 and/or Article 22 of the KUSA Constitution;</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b>  Both Trick Dog and Canine Good Citizen use the term "Evaluator" rather than "Judge" as these classes are designed to pass a dog following an assessment of their performance. Being non-competitive classes, the dogs are not placed 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and/or 3<sup>rd</sup>. Similarly, dogs are not "judged" in the ring but rather "evaluated".  The proposed changes are to reflect the use of this term in both tests.</p> <p><b>For decision.</b></p>
4.3		<b>Any Matters Related to the Registration of Dogs – Schedule 2</b>
	4.3.1	<b>Breed Health – Advanced Registration Certificate/Enhanced Registration Certificate</b> (Fedco 06-2020 item 8.3; Fedco 12-2020 item 4.3.1; Fedco 06-2021 item 4.3.1)



		<p><i>In principle, Fedco <b>unanimously supported</b> the motivation for the provisionally-termed “Enhanced Registration”. In consequence, the Health Task Teams were requested to explore nomenclature possibilities for this type of Registration which acknowledged and rewarded Health Testing. While the implementation date was still under review, the Health Task Team was requested to work closely with the KUSA Office on the development of the new Certificate and the manner in which the data would be managed. The provisions of the POPIA also needed to be taken into consideration.</i></p> <p><b>Proposal carried.</b>  Proposed CI, seconded GM.  (This decision ties in with Items 4.3.2 &amp; 4.3.4 below.)</p> <p><b>Work in progress – Feedback required.</b></p>
	4.3.2	<p><b>Breed Health - Genetic Health Testing</b> (Fedco 12-2020 item 8.9; Fedco 06-2021 item 4.3.4)</p> <p><b>Report-back from the appointed Fedco Task Team.</b></p>
	4.3.3	<p><b>Breed Health – BOAS</b> (Fedco 12-2019 item 9.8; Fedco 06.2020 item 8.3; Fedco 06-2021 item 4.3.5)</p> <p><b>Report-back from the Fedco-appointed Task Team.</b></p> <p><b>Brachy Breeds and BOAS: Seeing beyond the nose. (FCI Podcast) link</b>  <a href="#">Brachy Breeds and BOAS: Seeing beyond the nose - The FCI Podcast - YouTube</a></p>
	4.3.4	<p><b>Breed Health – HD/ED</b> (Fedco 06-2019 item 8.21.1 &amp; 8.21.2; Fedco 06-2021 item 4.3.6)</p> <p><b>Report-back from the appointed Fedco Task Team.</b></p>
	4.3.5	<p><b>FCI Hip Dysplasia Seminar, Copenhagen, 24-25.05.2022</b></p> <p>The FCI has notified all FCI Members and Contract Partners of a meeting for actively serving Hip Dysplasia Panellists to be held in Copenhagen on 24 &amp; 25 May 2022, hosted by the Danish Kennel Club. KUSA was requested to forward the information to Hip Dysplasia Panellists/Scrutineers providing such services to KUSA.</p> <p>It was noted that this meeting was a follow-up on the FCI Hip Dysplasia Conference held in Copenhagen in 2006. The aim of the scheduled meeting is to harmonise and validate the evaluation and scoring of Hip Dysplasia radiographs within the FCI countries. The programme will comprise a mixture of lectures and film-reading workshops, but further details were expected to follow in due course.</p> <p>Approved Panellists/Scrutinisers of Hip Dysplasia from each of the FCI National Canine Organisations would be welcome to participate. The meeting was not intended as a training session for prospective Panellists/Scrutineers.</p> <p><b>Feedback required.</b></p>
	4.4	<p><b>Breed Standards Committee (BSC)</b></p> <p><b>List of Breed Standards amended/revised under the KUSA Protocol for Adopting Breed Standards to be tabled.</b></p>
	4.5	<p><b>Any Matters Relating to Shows &amp; Schedule 3 – Regulations for Championship Shows</b></p>
	4.5.1	<p><b>Schedule 3 – Regulation 42 Champion Dogs – Regulation 42.1.1.1</b></p> <p><b>Carried forward from Fedco 12-2020</b></p> <p><i>In the absence of a proposal, the Chairman permitted this issue to be further debated. As deliberations progressed, it became evident that a viable solution to the problem of the “away-CC” would remain elusive if continued to be based on “out-of-Province” or “out-of-Centre” criteria.</i></p> <p><i>NdP expressed the opinion that, in the interest of fairness to all Provinces, a solution based on travelling distance should be investigated. There was general acceptance that this opinion might present a possible solution and the Chairman enquired which Federal Councillors would be prepared to pursue this option and revert to Fedco 12-2021 with a proposal.</i></p>

		<p><i>LT declared herself willing to be involved, but would require the assistance of NdP in drafting the proposal. NdP pledged his assistance, but also requested the collaboration of GR in the preparation of the proposal.</i></p> <p><i>PM expressed the view that, since an “out-of-Province” or “out-of-Centre” solution had now effectively been shelved by Fedco, there should be no point in retaining the “baggage” which preceded this latest development. Hopefully the process could be started afresh at Fedco 12-2021 with a distance-based proposal, which was no longer tethered to the discarded prior proposals.</i></p> <p><b>Noted.</b></p>
	<p><b>4.5.2</b></p>	<p><b>Schedule 3 Regulation 31.3</b> - The grounds of objection made to a dog and immediate disqualification of such a dog in terms of this Regulation.</p> <p><b>Proposed</b> change to Regulation 31.3.14, as read with Regulation 31.3, submitted by the NAPC.</p> <p>Insertions <u>underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p>31.3 The grounds of objection made to a dog and immediate disqualification of such dog in terms of this Regulation shall be any one or more of the following:</p> <p>31.3.14 that it <u>has been entered and/or exhibited at a Show when it is registered or recorded as owned by an officiating Judge within a period of <del>twelve (12)</del> four (4) months prior to the Show, when said officiating Judge is scheduled to judge any Class or Competition to which the dog may gain admission through its entry</u>, except in the case of a dog owned by the officiating Judge appointed in an emergency, in which case the disqualification of the dog will be automatic without any liability incurred by the emergency-appointed Judge.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> It has been brought to the attention of the NAPC that the intention of Schedule 3 Regulation 31.3.14, read with Regulation 31.3, is not entirely clear and that the period of twelve (12) months imposed by this Regulation is too restrictive and hampers the showing of dogs registered or recorded as owned by an officiating Judge for a much too extensive period than deemed reasonable.</p> <p><b>First</b>, as currently framed, the applicable Regulation contemplates that no dog previously owned by a Judge, may be shown at a show where the Judge is officiating, unless twelve (12) months had lapsed since the dog was transferred to its new owner. This restriction makes no sense, because if the dog is <b>not</b> scheduled to be shown under his previous owner in the Group Classes at a particular Show, even though his previous owner is an officiating Judge at the Show, it holds no danger or prejudice for other exhibitors and there is no danger of an undue advantage to the dog and its new owner(s). If, however, the previous owner is also the scheduled officiating Judge for the Show’s final Competitions (Best in Show, Best Puppy in Show and any scheduled Best Class in Show), the dog must be precluded from entering and exhibiting at the Show within the restricted period.</p> <p><b>Second</b>, the period of twelve (12) months before a dog may be shown under its previous owner is unreasonably long. Group and All-breeds Judges are permitted to Judge the same Groups or Best in Show every three (3) months, potentially allowing them to reward the same dogs four (4) times within a period of twelve (12) months! It is therefore proposed that a maximum period of four (4) months is more than sufficient to allow a previous owner-Judge to judge such dogs with integrity and objectively.</p> <p>With the ever-diminishing number of exhibits at Shows, there’s a compelling need to accommodate as many exhibitors as possible at KUSA Shows. Regulations such as 31.3.14 are unnecessarily restrictive and not only impact negatively on entries, but also seem to draw into question the integrity and objectivity of our Judges.</p> <p><b>For decision.</b> (Suggested Effective Date: 01.04.2022, but may be implemented immediately.)</p>

**4.5.3 Proposed changes to Schedule 3 – Regulations for Championship Shows**

**Housekeeping:**

Insertions underlined and deletions in [~~struck through square brackets~~].

2. Every application for a licence to hold a Championship Show shall be made not less than six (6) calendar months for Shows held from 1 January 2003 before the proposed date, on an official Kennel Union form, signed on behalf of the applicant Club by the Chairman, Treasurer and Secretary, who shall give their full addresses, setting out the information required therein, and such application shall be accompanied by the draft schedule of the Show, a letter of acceptance from each prospective Judge/Evaluator and the fee prescribed in terms of Schedule 7.

5.15.3 where letters of acceptance received are for persons who are not qualified to judge/evaluate the breeds/groups/events for which they contract themselves, such clubs shall pay a penalty as specified for:

5.15.5 each Judge/Evaluator whose letter of acceptance is not enclosed with the Draft Schedule, and/or  
5.15.6 each Judge/Evaluator who is not qualified to judge/evaluate the breeds/groups/events for which he contracts himself.

7.10.1 A Judge/Evaluator may not enter a dog registered in his ownership in the same discipline on the same day at the same venue as he is officiating, save and except for Working Disciplines held under the licence of a different Club. Automatic disqualification of the dog(s) will apply and the Judge/Evaluator will be liable for disciplinary action under Schedule 1.

7.10.2 A Judge/Evaluator may not handle a dog in any discipline, save and except for Working Disciplines held under the licence of a different Club on the same day at the same venue, as he/she is officiating. Automatic disqualification of the dog(s) will apply and the Judge/Evaluator will be liable for disciplinary action under Schedule 1

7.11 No Ring Steward may handle a dog on the same day as he/she is officiating before a Judge/Evaluator for whom he was stewarding unless requested by the Judge/Evaluator to exhibit the entry. Automatic disqualification of the dog(s) will apply and the Ring Steward will be liable for disciplinary action under Schedule 1

**9. HEIGHT AND WEIGHT CLASSES**

9.1 Whenever competition is subject to compliance with a height limit, the Show Committee shall provide a properly constructed measuring stick or alternative measuring device. Whenever a Judge/Evaluator calls for a measurement to be taken, the exhibit shall be measured by the Judge/Evaluator while it is standing on a firm, level surface within the show ring. If the exhibit fails to comply with the height limitation to which it is subject, the Judge/Evaluator shall mark his/her judging/evaluating sheets accordingly and taking into consideration the requirements of the Breed Standard, make such award as he/she thinks proper. Failure to comply with the height limit shall not disqualify a dog nor render it ineligible for further competition except where specified in the Breed Standard. In the case of dispute, the decision of the Judge/Evaluator shall be final.

13.2 to examine and report upon any dog during the Show at the request of the Judge/Evaluator or the Show Committee or its authorised Show Manager; or

16.1 No dog shall be allowed in the judging/evaluating ring unless it is being judged/evaluated or the exhibitor has been instructed to retain the dog in the ring pending judging of a subsequent class.

16.2 No dog shall be allowed to be off a lead or benching chain unless required by the Judge/Evaluator.

16.4 No exhibitor shall allow the dog he is exhibiting to be deliberately and improperly attracted from outside the ring whilst such dog is within the ring to be judged/evaluated.

16.5 No dog shall be allowed into the judging/evaluating ring after having been called three (3) times by the Ring Steward and marked absent.

18.2.1 when the Judge/Evaluator listed in the Schedule is changed; or

18.2.2 when a dog's Owner or its Authorised Agent deems it necessary due to the dog's illness, injury or other legitimate reason, and in either of the above eventualities, the dog shall be marked as ABSENT on

the Judge's/Evaluator's and Ring Steward's Sheets.

18.3 Once a dog has entered the ring with the intention to be exhibited, it shall for purposes of these Regulations be deemed as having been exhibited and may not leave the ring before completion of the judging/evaluating of that Class unless

18.3.1 the dog is excused or dismissed by the Judge/Evaluator for any reason whatsoever; or

18.4 When a dog is excused or dismissed by the Judge/Evaluator, the Judge's/Evaluator's and Ring Steward's Sheets shall be marked accordingly, e.g. DISMISSED/EXCUSED – LAMENESS, DISMISSED/EXCUSED – AGGRESSION, etc.

18.5 When a dog is withdrawn by the handler for any reason, the handler must inform the Ring Steward, after which the dog shall be marked as WITHDRAWN on the Judge's/Evaluator's and Ring Steward's Sheets.

18.6 If a dog's withdrawal from further competition is forced by an emergency, domestic or otherwise, formal permission shall be granted at the sole discretion of the Show Manager, once he is satisfied that sufficient cause has been shown why the dog should be withdrawn from further competition, after which the dog shall be marked as WITHDRAWN on the Judges'/Evaluators' and Ring Stewards' Sheets for the Class, Challenge or Competition from which the dog has been withdrawn.

19.1 All dogs exhibited shall be judged/evaluated in suitable rings and all judging/evaluating shall take place in public.

19.2 The Show Committee shall provide each Judge/Evaluator with Judges'/Evaluators' Sheets in which the breed, class and exhibits' numbers only are entered

19.3 Every Ring Steward and ring clerk shall be provided with a steward's sheet similar in content to the Judge's/Evaluator's Sheets and no Show catalogue shall be permitted within any judging/evaluating ring.

19.4 After the Judge/Evaluator has made his/her awards sequentially in order of merit 1 to 4 the Ring Steward will note the awards and announce them.

19.5 Any alteration made and initialled by the Judge/Evaluator in the Judge's/Evaluator's Sheets shall be carried on to the office with other copies of Judge's/Evaluator's Sheets.

19.6 In the event of a Judge/Evaluator making a report on any exhibit that has been improperly tampered with, is blind, deaf or suffering from contagious or infectious disease, the Show Secretary, shall cause such exhibit to be examined by the Veterinary Surgeon and submit the facts of the case to the Show Committee, which shall then act in terms of Schedule 3 Regulation 31.

19.7 Save and except for the necessary entry by any official of the show, and persons assisting disabled or wheelchair-bound handlers, the only persons permitted in the ring during judging/evaluation shall be the Officiating Judge(s), Evaluator(s), Learner Judge(s), Steward(s), Ring Clerk/Secretary and the handlers of the exhibits being judged/evaluated.

19.8 If a dog attacks a Judge/Evaluator or shows aggression towards a Judge/Evaluator, it must be immediately excused from the Ring and the Judge/Evaluator must immediately report the occurrence to the Show Manager. The Show Manager will then cause the dog to be withdrawn from all further competition in the Show. The Show Secretary shall then send a written report of the incident to the Secretary of KUSA in accordance with Regulation 14.

**20. APPOINTMENT AND APPROVAL OF JUDGES/EVALUATORS**

20.1 An Affiliated Club shall have unrestricted discretion to appoint its Judges/Evaluators who shall, if permanently domiciled in the KUSA area of jurisdiction, be members of the Kennel Union in good standing, both at the time of accepting an invitation and undertaking an appointment, subject to:

20.1.1 The Executive Committee's approval for every Judge/Evaluator elect shall be obtained in writing not less than six (6) months before the date of the show at which time the Secretary may notify the Club of any restriction imposed upon such Judge/Evaluator elect and any disqualification or suspension affecting the Federal Council's approval of appointment.

20.1.2 In the case of a Judge/Evaluator elect residing outside the jurisdiction of the Kennel Union, application for approval of appointment shall be made to the Executive Committee of not less than nine (9) months before the date of the Show.

20.2 Notwithstanding the provision of Sub-Regulation 20.1.1 and 20.1.2 the Executive Committee may give special dispensation in cases of emergency, such as illness, or a Judge's/Evaluator's inability to travel, and the approval required under Regulations 20.1.1 and 20.1.2 thereof may be applied for within the periods stipulated.

20.3 In the event of a Judge/Evaluator, having accepted an appointment, not fulfilling such engagement

to judge/evaluate, he/she shall be required to give his reasons in writing within 7 days to the Club Committee with whom he has been contracted and if such reason is considered to be unsatisfactory or if no such reason is submitted, the Club Committee shall request the Club Secretary or any other appointed member of the Club who is a member of the KUSA to submit a complaint to the KUSA in terms of Schedule 1 of the KUSA Constitution.

20.4 Making its arrangements in such a manner that no Judge/Evaluator shall be required to judge/evaluate more than approximately 175 exhibits during any one day or not more than approximately twenty-five (25) dogs per hour.

31.3.6 that it has been improperly prepared for exhibition in contravention of Regulation 38. Any contravention of this Regulation must be dealt with by the officiating Judge/Evaluator at his discretion.

31.3.14 that it has been registered or recorded as owned by an officiating Judge/Evaluator within a period of twelve (12) months prior to the Show, except in the case of a dog owned by the officiating Judge/Evaluator appointed in an emergency, in which case the disqualification of the dog will be automatic without any liability incurred by the emergency-appointed Judge/Evaluator.

33.1 If a dog is entered in a class for which it is ineligible and it is not withdrawn from competition therein, or rectified by the Show Office before such class is judged/evaluated, such dog shall be disqualified and the exhibitor may be fined at the discretion of the Executive Committee.

#### **34. JUDGES/EVALUATORS**

34.1 The Executive Committee or the appropriate Standing Sub-Committee shall be empowered to approve the appointment of all Judges/Evaluators at all recognised Shows at which Kennel Union awards are offered for competition and no Judge/Evaluator shall officiate at any such Show unless he has been approved by the Executive Committee.

34.2 The Executive Committee shall maintain panels of Judges/Evaluators approved to award Challenge Certificates for Breed, Qualifying Certificates for Obedience Classes, Working Trials, Field Trials, Companion Events, and such other Certificates which may be awarded in terms of any Schedule forming part of this Constitution.

34.3 Before any person is approved to award any Certificate or award, the Executive Committee shall have regard to his knowledge and experience as a breeder, exhibitor and Judge/Evaluator and may call for such information as may be required to assess such knowledge and experience. In every assessment made the Executive Committee shall take into consideration such evaluations as may have been submitted in terms of the relative Schedules to this Constitution.

34.5 All locally domiciled Judges/Evaluator shall be members in good standing of the Kennel Union and shall be over the age of eighteen (18) years at the time when formal studies or activities towards a judging/evaluating qualification commence, and/or any judging/evaluating is undertaken.

#### **35. RING NUMBERS**

35.1 Every exhibitor or handler shall wear a distinctive number, the digits of which shall be not less than 2.5 cm in height, to identify each dog exhibited in the Judging/Evaluating Ring. The dog's number shall be allocated and issued by the Show Secretary.

35.2 When in the Judging/Evaluating Ring, no exhibitor, handler or dog shall wear or exhibit any rosette, award, name, Kennel name or other device which may identify or advertise the dog or its kennel save and except winners of Best of Breed rosettes may wear these when in the ring for Group judging and winners for Best of Group rosettes may wear these, but not additional to Best of Breed rosettes, when in the ring for Best in Show judging. Such rosettes shall bear ONLY the nature of the award and the name of the Show holding Club and sponsor, if any.

37.2 Without limiting the extent and meaning thereof, fraudulent conduct shall include, *inter alia*, the exhibition of dogs under the name or registration number of another dog, and any arrangement proposed, suggested, offered or entered into with a Judge/Evaluator to influence or intended to influence his placing or awards.

37.3 Without limiting the extent and meaning thereof, discreditable conduct shall include *inter alia* wilfully, by word or letter, attempting to influence exhibitors to boycott a Show, wilfully speaking to or treating a Judge/Evaluator or any Show Official in a disrespectful or contemptuous manner, wilfully permitting his exhibit to be improperly attracted from outside the Judging/Evaluating Ring whilst within the ring to be judged/evaluated; failing to pay entry fees or other dues in respect of the Show; wilfully

acting or behaving in opposition to these Regulations; making any false declaration upon his Show entry form; failing to comply with any request properly made by a Show Official; any act of cruelty to or neglect of a dog; any disorderly or unseemly conduct at or within the precincts of a Show; making insulting or disparaging remarks of and about any dog, Exhibitor, handler, Show Official, Judge, [Evaluator](#) or KUSA Representative at a Show.

37.4 Upon receipt of every report made in terms of Sub-Regulation 37.1 hereof, the Secretary shall transmit the papers to the Sub-Committee of Inquiry and they shall thereupon be dealt with as a complaint made in terms of Disciplinary Rule 2 (Schedule 1) and all the provisions of the Disciplinary Rules (Schedule 1) shall apply. The complaint deposit shall be waived if the matter concerns discreditable conduct towards a Judge, [Evaluator](#), Ring Steward or Show Manager at a KUSA-licensed event.

#### 42.15 Trick Dog Champion

The Federal Council or the Executive Committee shall award:

42.15.1 The title of Trick Dog Champion and a KUSA Certificate to any dog that has achieved a passing evaluation. The performance must be evaluated by two (2) Evaluators.

#### 42.16~~[15]~~ Additional Qualifications for Championship Status

The Breeds concerned to be published in the official Kennel Union Journal, from time to time, subject to a resolution of the Executive Committee and the additional qualifications agreed by a Breed Council, will become effective under the provisions of Article 40 in respect of amendments to the Articles of the Constitution.

##### 42.16.1 ~~[42.15.1]~~ German Shepherd Dogs

42.16.1.1 ~~[42.16.1.1]~~ Only one (1) Challenge Certificate gained before the age of eighteen (18) months to count

and the remaining points to be gained after the age of eighteen (18) months.

42.16.1.2 ~~[42.15.1.2]~~ Achieved a grading of "V" (excellent) awarded at a KUSA Licensed Breed Show"

42.16.1.3 ~~[42.15.1.3]~~ Applicant is able to produce acceptable documentation, including evidence of positive identification,

attesting that the dog has been x-rayed for Hip Dysplasia at the minimum age of 12 months and the hips were found to be within the range of HD A1 to C2.

##### 42.16.3 ~~[42.15.2]~~ Rottweilers

42.16.2. ~~[42.15.2.1]~~ Only one (1) Challenge Certificate gained before the age of eighteen (18) months to count and the remaining points to be gained after the age of eighteen (18) months.

42.16.2.2 ~~[42.15.2.2]~~ Achieved at least two (2) gradings of "V" (excellent) from a Specialist Rottweiler Judge. The V gradings must be obtained by two (2) different Specialist Rottweiler judges. At least one (1) V grading should be obtained after the age of twenty-four (24) months.

42.16.2.3 ~~[42.15.2.3]~~ Applicant is able to produce acceptable documentation, including evidence of positive identification, attesting that the dog has been x-rayed for Hip Dysplasia and Elbow Dysplasia at the minimum age of eighteen (18) months and the hips were found to be within the range of HD A1 to C2. There are currently no restrictions on the elbow scores.

42.16.2.4 ~~[42.15.2.4]~~ The dog has been assessed by a qualified Breed Surveyor. (Note - the Breed Assessment does not include a fighting spirit and courage test).

##### 42.16.3 ~~[42.15.3]~~ Boerboel

42.16.3.1 ~~[42.15.3.1]~~ In addition to the requirements as laid down in Regulation 42.1.1 to 42.1.3 (Champion dogs, Breed) an exhibit must have successfully completed a Breed & Character (Temperament) Assessment by a qualified Assessor with an "Excellent 85%+ rating.

##### 42.17 ~~[42.16]~~ Multiple Champion (M.CH)

The title M.CH may be recorded on the Certificate of Registration as a Prefix to the registered name of a dog that has been awarded the title of Champion in more than two (2) foreign countries.

44.2 The Show Committee shall be entitled to cancel or postpone a Show in advance of the Show taking place for any cause other than force majeure, such as strife within the Committee, cancellations of Judges/[Evaluators](#), unavailability of the venue, etc. Exhibitors who have already submitted their Show Entry Forms and Show Entry Fees for the Show, shall be informed of the cancellation/postponement without delay and be advised of the expeditious procedure for the refund of Show Entry Fees. In the case of cancellation of the Show for the year in question, Exhibitors shall be entitled to a full refund of

		<p>Show Entry Fees within twenty-one (21) days of the notice of cancellation and, in the case of postponement of the Show to a later date in the same year, shall have the choice of having their Show Entry Fees retained by the Club to be offset against the Show Entry Fees due and payable for the Show to be held on a new date in the same year. Show Entry Fees shall not be retained by the Club to be offset against Show Entry Fees payable for a Show in a future year.</p> <p>44.4 In the event of a Show being cancelled or postponed as a result of events contemplated in Sub-Regulations 44.1 to 44.3 above, the Club shall immediately inform the KUSA Office, contracted Judges/<a href="#">Evaluators</a> and Exhibitors of such cancellation or postponement, and advise Exhibitors of the position regarding Show Entry Fees, i.e. whether they will be retained, partially refunded, or refunded, depending on the circumstances, as provided in Sub-Regulations 44.1 to 44.2 above.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> Both Trick Dog and Canine Good Citizen use the term “Evaluator” rather than “Judge” as these classes are designed to pass a dog following an assessment of their performance. Being non-competitive classes, the dogs are not placed 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and/or 3<sup>rd</sup>. Similarly, dogs are not “judged” in the ring but rather “evaluated”. The proposed changes are to reflect the use of this term in both tests. Under Regulation 34.2, the term “Companion Events” has been inserted above to reflect the inclusion of this term into the KUSA structure. Under 42.15, the insertion of Trick Dog Champion has been inserted as it is possible to make a dog a Champion under the Trick Dog Test (Schedule 11(C)) and this insertion reflects this ability. The change in numbering has been amended to reflect the insertion of Champion status attached to the Trick Dog Test.</p> <p><b>For decision.</b></p>
	4.5.4	<p><b>Proposed changes to Schedule 3, Regulation 43</b></p> <p><b>Housekeeping:</b></p> <p>Insertions <u>underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p><b>BREEDER[’S]S’ [DIPLOMA] CERTIFICATE</b> Upon written application being made on an official Kennel Union form, accompanied by the prescribed fee, the Executive Committee shall issue a Breeder[’]s’ [<del>Diploma</del>] Certificate to the breeder of every dog awarded its title of Champion, [<del>and</del>] Grand Champion[,] and Supreme Champion provided such dog is registered in the Kennel Union <u>Primary Breed Register[s]</u>.</p>
	4.6	<b>Any Matters Relating to Schedule 3A - Regulations for FCI International Dog Shows</b>
	4.7	<b>Any Matters Relating to Schedule 4 - Regulations for Non-Championship Shows</b>
	4.7.1	<p><b>Proposed changed to Schedule 4 – Regulations for Non-championship Shows</b></p> <p><b>Housekeeping:</b></p> <p>Insertions <u>underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p><b>1. Licensing of Non-Championship Shows and Match Meetings</b> 2. 2.1 Every application for a licence to hold a Non-Championship Show or Match Meeting shall be made not less than three (3) calendar months before the proposed date, on an official Kennel Union form, signed on behalf of the applicant by the Chairman, Treasurer and Secretary, who shall give their full addresses, setting out the information required therein, and such application shall be accompanied by a draft schedule of the show, signed contracts from each prospective Judge/<a href="#">Evaluator</a> and the fee prescribed in terms of Schedule 7.</p> <p>3.5.3.2 Where a licence issued to an Affiliated Club applies to events held on more than one date each event listed on such composite licence shall be considered closed and concluded one (1) hour after the completion of the judging/<a href="#">evaluation</a> or completion of the event, as the case may be, on the particular date/s as indicated on the licence.</p> <p>3.9 Every Show shall be deemed to have started two (2) hours before the time judging/<a href="#">evaluating</a> commences, as advertised on the show schedule. Every Show shall be deemed to have closed one (1) hour after completion of the judging/<a href="#">evaluating</a> or of the event as the case may be.</p>

6.1.5 The names of the Judges/[Evaluators](#) for each breed or group/s and variety classes, Handler Classes,

11.1 No dog shall be allowed in the judging/[evaluating](#) ring unless it is being judged/[evaluated](#) or the exhibitor has been instructed to retain the dog in the ring pending judging/[evaluation](#) of a subsequent class.

11.2 No dog shall be allowed to be off a lead or benching chain unless required by the Judge/[Evaluator](#).

11.4 No Exhibitor shall allow the dog he is exhibiting to be deliberately and improperly attracted from outside the ring whilst such dog is within the ring to be judged/[evaluated](#).

11.5 No dog shall be allowed into the Judging/[Evaluating](#) ring after having been called three (3) times by the Ring Steward and marked absent.

12.1 when the Judge/[Evaluator](#) announced is changed;

12.3 whenever the Judge/[Evaluator](#) permits a dog to be withdrawn from further classes after exhibition in its first class;

#### 14. **Judging/[Evaluating](#) Requirements and Regulations:**

14.1 All dogs exhibited shall be judged/[evaluated](#) in suitable rings and all judging/[evaluating](#) shall take place in public.

14.2 The Show Committee shall provide each Judge/[Evaluator](#) with Judge's/[Evaluator's](#) sheets in which only the breed, class and exhibits' numbers are entered.

14.3 Every Ring Steward and Ring Clerk shall be provided with Ring Steward's Sheets similar in content to the Judge's/[Evaluator's](#) Sheets and no Show Catalogue shall be permitted within any judging/[evaluating](#) ring.

14.4 After the judge has made his/her awards sequentially in order of merit 1 to 4, [or assessed the performance of an entry](#), the Ring Steward will note the awards and announce them.

14.5 Any alteration made and initialled by the Judge/[Evaluator](#) in the judge's sheets shall be carried on to the office together with other copies of the Judge's/[Evaluator's](#) Sheets.

14.6 In the event of a Judge/[Evaluator](#) making a report on any exhibit that has been improperly tampered with, is blind, deaf or suffering from contagious or infectious disease, the Show Secretary shall cause such exhibit to be examined by the Veterinary Surgeon and submit the facts of the case to the Show committee, which shall then act in terms of Schedule 3, Reg. 31.

14.7 Save and except from the necessary entry by any official of the show and persons assisting disabled or wheelchair bound handlers, the only persons permitted in the ring during judging/[evaluating](#) shall be the Officiating Judge(s), the Learner/Provisional Judge(s), Steward(s), Ring Clerk/Secretary, [assistants](#), and the handlers of exhibits being judged.

14.8 If a dog attacks a Judge/[Evaluator](#) or shows aggression towards a Judge/[Evaluator](#), it must be immediately excused from the Ring and the Judge/[Evaluator](#) must immediately report the occurrence to the Show Manager. The Show Manager will then cause the dog to be withdrawn from all further competition in the Show. The Show Secretary shall then send a written report of the incident to the Secretary of KUSA in accordance with Regulation 9.

#### 15. **Appointment and Approval of Judges/[Evaluators](#)**

15.1 An Affiliated Club shall have unrestricted discretion to appoint its Judges/[Evaluators](#) who shall, if permanently domiciled in the KUSA area of jurisdiction, be members in good standing of the Kennel Union and shall be over the age of eighteen (18) years at the time when formal studies or activities towards a judging/[evaluating](#) qualification commence, and/or any judging/[evaluating](#) is undertaken.

15.1.1 have passed the initial examination for the relevant breed/group of the KUSA Breed Judges Learning Programme, or

15.1.2 is approved by the Executive Committee to judge the relevant breed/group at Championship Show level, [or \[-\]](#)

[15.1.3 is approved by the Executive Committee to judge/evaluate the relevant Working Discipline and/or Companion Event.](#)

15.2 The Executive Committee's approval for every Judge/[Evaluator](#) elect shall be obtained in writing not less than three (3) months before the date of the show at which time the Secretary may notify the club of any restriction imposed upon such Judge/[Evaluator](#) elect and any disqualification or suspension affecting the Federal Council's approval of appointment.



		<p>15.3 Notwithstanding the provision of Sub-Regulation 14.2, the Executive Committee may give special dispensation in cases of emergency, such as illness or a Judge's/<u>Evaluator's</u> inability to travel and the approval required under Sub-Regulation 14.2 thereof, may be applied for within the periods stipulated.</p> <p>15.4 In the event of a Judge/<u>Evaluator</u>, having accepted an appointment, not fulfilling such engagement to judge/<u>evaluate</u>, he shall be required to give reasons in writing within 7 days to the Club Committee with whom he has been contracted and if such reason is considered to be unsatisfactory or if no such reason is submitted, the Club Committee shall request the Club Secretary or any other appointed member of the Club who is a member of the KUSA to submit a complaint with the KUSA in terms of Schedule 1 of the KUSA Constitution.</p> <p>15.6 As far as possible, preference should be given to Learner/Provisional Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> to fill appointments at Non-Championship shows rather than Licensed Judges/<u>Evaluators</u>. Invitations should also (as far as possible) be extended to Learner/Provisional Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> in other Provinces.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> Both Trick Dog and Canine Good Citizen use the term "Evaluator" rather than "Judge" as these classes are designed to pass a dog following an assessment of their performance. Being non-competitive classes, the dogs are not placed 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and/or 3<sup>rd</sup>. Similarly, dogs are not "judged" in the ring but rather "evaluated". The proposed changes are to reflect the use of this term in both tests.</p>
	<b>4.8</b>	<b>Any Matters Relating to Schedule 4A – Regulations for Qualifying Shows</b>
	<b>4.8.1</b>	<p><b>Proposed changed to Schedule 4A – Regulations for Qualifying Shows</b></p> <p><b>Housekeeping:</b></p> <p>Insertions <u>underlined</u>.</p> <p>3. 3.1 Every application for a licence to hold a Qualifying Show or Re-Qualifying Show shall be made not less than six (6) calendar months before the proposed date, on an official Kennel Union form, signed on behalf of the applicant by the Chairman, Treasurer and Secretary, who shall give their full residential addresses, setting out the information required therein, and such application shall be accompanied by the fee prescribed, a Draft Schedule, and a letter or letters of acceptance from all its Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> and a specimen Entry form</p> <p>6. SHOW SCHEDULES 6.4 the names of the Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> of each Breed, the Groups and Variety Classes;</p> <p>22. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u>: The provisions of Schedule 3, Regulation 20 shall apply to Qualifying Shows and Re-Qualifying Shows mutatis mutandis</p> <p><b>For decision.</b></p>
<b>5</b>		<b>WORKING DISCIPLINES</b>
	<b>5.1</b>	<b>Schedule 5A – Regulations for Obedience Classes</b>
	<b>5.1.1</b>	<b>Report by NSC Convener – (attached)</b>
	<b>5.2</b>	<b>Schedule 5B(01) – Regulations for Working Trials (Classic)</b>
	<b>5.2.1</b>	<b>Report by NSC Convener – (attached)</b>
	<b>5.2.2</b>	<b>Proposals to amend Schedule 5B (01) (Regulations for Working Trials - Classic) and 5B (04) (Regulations for Working Utility Dog) (Fedco 12.2020 item 5.2.2)</b>
	<b>5.3</b>	<b>Schedule 5B(04) – Regulations for Working Utility Dog (WUD)</b>
	<b>5.3.1</b>	<b>Report by NSC Convener – (see 5.2.1 above)</b>
	<b>5.3.2</b>	<b>Proposal submitted by the National Working Trials (Classic) Sub-Committee – (see 5.2.2 above)</b>
	<b>5.4</b>	<b>Schedule 5B(02) – Regulations for International Working Trials and International Tracking Trials (IGP)</b>
	<b>5.4.1</b>	<b>Report by NSC Convener – (attached)</b>
	<b>5.4.2</b>	<p><b>Schedule 5B(02) – Regulations for International Working Trials and International Tracking Trials (IGP)</b></p> <p>Proposed changes to Schedule 5B (02), submitted by the National IGP Sub-Committee (approved by the Members of the National IGP Sub-Committee)</p>

		<p>Insertions <u>underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p>
	5.4.3	<p><b>Schedule 5E(06) – Regulations for the KUSA – IGP Annual Event</b></p> <p>Proposed changes to Schedule 5E (06), submitted by the National IGP Sub-Committee (approved by the Members of the National IGP Sub-Committee)</p> <p>Insertions <u>underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p>
	5.4.4	<p><b>Schedule 05J – Regulations for KUSA Registered Helpers (IGP)</b></p> <p>Proposed changes to Schedule 05J, submitted by the National IGP Sub-Committee (approved by the Members of the National IGP Sub-Committee)</p> <p>Insertions <u>underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p>
5.5		<p><b>Schedule 5B(3) – Regulations for Tracking Trials</b></p>
	5.5.1	<p><b>Proposed Changes to Schedule 5B(03) - Regulations for Tracking Trials (TT)</b> Proposed changes to Schedule 5B(03) TT submitted by the NAPC on behalf of the Ad hoc Tracking Trails Sub-Committee.</p> <p>Insertions <u>underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p><b>Proposal 1 – Housekeeping:</b></p> <p>1.1 <u>LICENSING AND HOLDING OF TRACKING TRIALS (TT)</u> The regulations relating to <u>licensing</u> and to all other matters to do with shows as set out in Schedule 3 (Championship Shows and Schedule 4 (Non-Championship Shows) of the Constitution of the Kennel Union of Southern Africa (KUSA) shall apply to <del>International</del> Tracking Trials.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> International Tracking Trials belong to Schedule 5B(02) IGP and should not be referenced in Schedule 5B(03) TT</p> <p>2.2 Eligibility for: TT1 - No previous qualification required. TT2 - The qualification required to compete in TT2 is TT1, IGPI, [<del>SchH 4</del>] or TD 1. TT3 - The qualification required to compete in TT3 is TT2, IGP2, [<del>SchH2</del>] or TD 2 or PD or TD3 TT4 - The qualification required to compete in TT4 is TT3, IGP3, [<del>SchH3</del>] or TD 3. TT5 - The qualification required to compete in TT5 is TT4.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> Having downloaded the recent Schedule 5B(03) TT, it has been noticed that Schutzhund SchH is still being referenced under 2.2 only.</p> <p>2.5 <b>Record Cards</b> When competitors first compete in TT, they are to be issued by the organising club or issued by the Provincial TT Sub-Committee or where there is no Provincial TT Sub-Committee the card shall be issued by KUSA, with a KUSA TT Record Card. All further qualifying TT results will be duly recorded on this card and countersigned by the officiating Judge. Competitors who have obtained qualification for any particular TT grade through any other tracking discipline, must prove the eligibility of their dogs based on a result which has been recorded on any relevant “Working Record Card/booklet” issued by KUSA. [<del>Such qualification is copied onto the TT Record Card.</del>] Once qualified in one particular TT Grade, the dog <u>cannot</u> go back to a lower grade.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> When the TT record cards were designed, this feature was never allowed for on the cards.</p>

8.1 Marks.....Three articles (7+7+6) = 20 marks

8.5 Evaluation:

False starting, dawdling, repeated circling at the corners, constant encouragements, incorrect indication or picking up or dropping an article must be penalised by up to four (4) marks. Reset on track, persistent dawdling, consistently tracking with a high nose, rushing onto the track, voidance of bowels and bladder whilst on track and hunting or similar behaviour will be penalised by up to four (4) marks. For incorrectly indicated or picked up articles up to four (4) marks may be deducted. For each article not found [~~ten (10) marks will be deducted~~], the relevant mark allocated for each article, as indicated under 8.1. Marks, will be deducted. For indicating or picking up articles not placed by the handler, four (4) marks will be deducted. Walking on the lead must be penalized by up to 4 marks to be deducted from the article marks.

**Motivation:**

As can be seen by 8.1 marks allocated to Articles in Tracking Trials Grade 3, are 7, 7, and 6. Therefore, the deduction of 10 marks for articles not found is incorrect. You cannot deduct more marks that the actual worth of the article.

**ANNEX A – PROPOSED ALTERATION FOR RECORD CARD FOR TT**

REGISTERING AND ELIGIBILITY OF DOGS FOR COMPETITION	
1.	A dog which is on a KUSA Register or Record or registered with an organisation in reciprocity with KUSA and which has not been disqualified or suspended from competition by KUSA, or any other National Canine Control Body, may be entered for and compete in any Non-Championship or Championship Tracking Trials at any KUSA sanctioned Show. A Qualifying Record Card shall not be issued or be valid for any dog not so registered.
2.	Dogs may not be entered "Registration Applied for (RAF)" or "Transfer Applied for (TAF)" in any Tracking Trials and all terms of Schedule 3, Regulation 7 apply, where applicable.
3.	Dogs will not be allowed to compete in any Tracking Trials unless the record card is produced by the owner or designated handler of the dog, as per Schedule 5B(03) Regulation 2.3
4.	No Dog under the age of 8 months may compete in TT1, while a dog competing in TT5 shall be at least twenty (20) months old on the day of the trial as per Schedule 5B(03)Regulation 2.6.
5.	All dogs are eligible as follows: TT1 – no previous qualification required TT2 – has previously qualified in TT1, IGP1 [IPQ1] or TD1 [SchH1] TT3 – has previously qualified in TT2, IGP2 [IPQ2] or TD2 [SchH2] TT4 – has previously qualified in TT3, IGP3 [IPQ3], TD3 [SchuH3] TT5 – has previously qualified in TT4 [As per Schedule 5B (3), Reg. 2.2]

TRACKING TRIALS QUALIFYING RECORD									
BREED:	<input type="text"/>								
DOG'S REGISTERED	<input type="text"/>								
NAME:	<input type="text"/>								
REG NO:	<input type="text"/>								
DATE OF BIRTH:	<table border="1"><tr><td>D</td><td>D</td><td>M</td><td>M</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td><td>Y</td></tr></table>	D	D	M	M	Y	Y	Y	Y
D	D	M	M	Y	Y	Y	Y		
CARD NO:	<input type="text"/>								
<table border="1"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">DATE OF ISSUE AND AUTHORITY</td></tr><tr><td>DATE: .....</td></tr><tr><td>SIGNATURE:</td></tr></table>		DATE OF ISSUE AND AUTHORITY	DATE: .....	SIGNATURE:					
DATE OF ISSUE AND AUTHORITY									
DATE: .....									
SIGNATURE:									

[This card is issued by and remains the property of The Kennel Union of Southern Africa, PO Box 2659, Cape Town, 8000]

5.6		<b>Schedule 05C(01), (02), (03) &amp; (06) – Field Trials</b>
	5.6.1	<b>Report by FTLC Chairman – (attached)</b>
	5.6.2	<p><b>Schedule 5C (1) – Regulations for Retriever Field Trials (1989)</b> Proposed changes to Schedule 5C (1), submitted by the Field Trials Liaison Council.</p> <p><u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p>Noted: Many items that are highlighted in yellow and do not have inclusions or deletions are simply to show changes in numbering.</p> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p>
	5.6.3	<p><b>Schedule 5C (2) – Regulations for Flushing Spaniel Field Trials</b> Proposed changes to Schedule 5C (2), submitted by the Field Trials Liaison Council.</p> <p><u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p>Noted: Many items that are highlighted in yellow and do not have inclusions or deletions are simply to show changes in numbering.</p> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p>
	5.6.4	<p><b>Schedule 5C (3) – HPR (Breeds that Hunt, Point and Retrieve)</b> Proposed changes to Schedule 5C (3), submitted by the Field Trials Liaison Council.</p> <p><u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p>Noted: Many items that are highlighted in yellow and do not have inclusions or deletions are simply to show changes in numbering.</p> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p>
	5.6.5	<p><b>Schedule 5C (6) – Regulations for Versatile Tests - Pointing Breeds (2020)</b> Proposed changes to Schedule 5C (6), submitted by the Field Trials Liaison Council.</p> <p><u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</p> <p>Noted: Many items that are highlighted in yellow and do not have inclusions or deletions are simply to show changes in numbering.</p> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p>
5.7		<b>Schedule 5D – Regulations for Dog Jumping (Fedco 06-2021 items 5.7.1 &amp; 5.7.2)</b>
	5.7.1	<b>Report by NSC Convener - (attached)</b>
5.8		<b>Schedule 5E - Regulations for Special Events</b>
5.9		<b>Schedule 5G – Regulations for Dog Carting</b>
	5.9.1	<p><b>Report by NSC Convener:</b> 2021 loomed on the horizon and we thought all the hassles of 2020 were behind us. NO. More up and down levels saw us training. Being shut down again. Opened again and even a few shows came our way.</p> <p>All provinces offering Carting have steadfastly continued to train and hold shows when they could.</p> <p>Carting Judges happily stepped forward when asked to judge. Thank you all for giving up your time during dangerous times.</p> <p>Grateful thanks from the hosting Clubs that could operate shows and the carters themselves who also stepped forward and entered.</p> <p>Sadly, some carting dogs and their handlers found ill health and old age upon them and will never return to the show ring again.</p> <p>Let's hope that 2022 will see more activity in the carting arena.</p>

		<p>Thanks must go to the Carting Sub-Committee of Gauteng who gave us a superb Carting National weekend.</p> <p>It was great to see carters and their dogs who had been absent for almost 2 years.</p> <p>Let's continue to enjoy our sport.</p> <p>Eleanor Gow NATIONAL CONVENOR - CARTING</p>
5.10		<b>Schedule 5K – Regulations for Handler Classes</b>
5.11		<b>Schedule 5L – Regulations for Agility Classes – no submissions.</b>
	5.11.1	<b>Report by NSC Convener – (attached)</b>
5.12		<b>Schedule 5M – Regulations for Flyball</b>
	5.12.1	<b>Report by NSC Convener – (attached)</b>
	5.12.2	<b>Proposal to amend Schedule 5M (Regulations for Flyball)</b>
5.13		<b>Aptitude Testing</b>
5.14		<b>Schedule 5Q – Regulations for Rescue Dog Trials (RDT)</b>
5.15		<b>Dog Dancing - Schedules 5R (Heelwork to Music) and 5S (Musical Freestyle)</b>
	5.15.1	<b>Schedule 5R - Heelwork to Music (HTM)</b>
	5.15.2	<b>Schedule 5S – Musical Freestyle (MF)</b>
5.16		<b>Schedule 5T – Regulations for Rally Dog</b>
5.17		<p><b>Canicross</b></p> <p><b>Report-back on developments</b></p>
5.18		<b>Schedule 5U – Regulations for Mondioring</b>
5.19		<b>Schedule 07 - Subscriptions &amp; Fees</b>
	5.19.1	<p><b>Proposal for the introduction of a new KUSA Membership Category – Student Membership</b></p> <p>Submitted by DOGSPC on behalf of the Agility &amp; Dog Jumping Standing Sub-Committee of DOGSPC.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> Students, who often have to fend for themselves financially, find it difficult to afford the funds required to become a KUSA member and it is believed that introducing a concessionary rate for young people between the age of 18 and 21, the years when most young people typically study at a tertiary level, would boost KUSA's membership. Creating a membership category between Junior and Adult at a rate commensurate with that paid by Pensioners would serve as an encouragement for young people to join KUSA. It is also proposed that the Election Fee in this category of Membership be waived, as it is for Junior Membership.</p> <p>Insert into the Subscriptions &amp; Fees document issued pursuant to Schedule 7:</p> <p><b>Student</b> – eighteen (18) years to under twenty-one (21) years (Election Fees not applicable) – twelve months Membership Subscription R271.00</p> <p>The following forms need to be adjusted to accommodate the new Membership category:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New Membership Application Form</li> <li>• Membership Renewal Form</li> </ul> <p>along with KUSA's electronic documentation.</p> <p><b>For decision.</b></p>
5.20		<b>Schedule 8 – Schedule of Documents and Returns to be sent to the Secretary</b>
5.21		<b>Schedule 9 – Code of Ethics for Members of the Kennel Union of Southern Africa (KUSA)</b>
	5.21.1	<b>Proposed changes to Schedule 9 – Code of Ethics for Members of KUSA</b>

**Housekeeping:**

Insertions underlined and deletions in [~~struck through square brackets~~].

Persons become Members of KUSA and maintain their membership of KUSA for a variety of reasons, ranging from the establishment of a non-commercial kennel for the breeding of purebred dogs, to the participation in dog-showing and other forms of dogsport, to the judging/evaluation of conformation Shows and competitions for various Working Disciplines, to the desire to serve in an administrative capacity on the various structures which underpin cynology as a leisure activity.

1.6. Members shall respect the reputations and sensitivities of other Members, Judges/Evaluators and elected or appointed KUSA Officials at all events and in all fora, including on social media platforms, such as Facebook, and on various Internet chat groups. In this regard Members are advised to take due cognisance of ***KUSA's Best Practice Guidelines for Members in the Use of Social Media***, published on the KUSA website.

5.14. desist from purposefully delaying judging/evaluating in order to accommodate another competitor or manipulate the judging/evaluation schedule;

5.15. desist from trying to get the order of judging/evaluating changed to accommodate their or others' late arrival, or their presence in other rings;

5.17. treat the officiating Judge/Evaluator with the utmost respect and accept his/her decisions graciously, refraining from engaging the Judge/Evaluator in quarrelsome exchanges and subjecting him/her to disparaging remarks;

5.18. refrain from berating or insulting the officiating Judge/Evaluator at the Show, especially in the presence of other competitors, or KUSA/Club Officials, or later on social media platforms and in various Internet chat groups;

5.19. desist from socialising with an officiating Judge/Evaluator prior to judging/evaluating, which includes being accommodated by the Judge/Evaluator, accommodating the Judge/Evaluator, or travelling with the Judge/Evaluator;

5.20. avoid placing an officiating Judge/Evaluator in a compromising situation when Members' close relationship with the Judge/Evaluator is generally known and be mindful of the recommended procedure in circumstances of potential conflict, i.e. withdrawal from competition by way of a "lap of honour";

6.1. fully abide by this Code insofar as it also applies to them in their interaction with KUSA employees or other KUSA Officials, as dog owners, as dog breeders, as exhibitors or competitors at KUSA Shows or events, or as Judges/Evaluators officiating for KUSA locally, or for the Clubs of National Canine Organisations in foreign countries with which KUSA has a relationship through its membership with the FCI, or through direct reciprocal arrangements;

**7. ETHICAL BEHAVIOUR OF KUSA MEMBERS ACTING AS JUDGES/EVALUATORS FOR CONFORMATION SHOWS OR WORKING DISCIPLINES EVENTS LOCALLY OR OVERSEAS**

Members acting as Judges/Evaluators for conformation Shows or Working Disciplines events locally or overseas shall

**APPENDIX "B"**

**CODE OF CONDUCT AND PRACTICE FOR JUDGES LICENSED BY THE KENNEL UNION OF SOUTHERN AFRICA (KUSA) (Effective 01.04.2020)**

The conduct and practice of Judges/Evaluators officiating for the Kennel Union of Southern Africa in all forms of dogsport, whether as a Learner Judges/Evaluators, or Licensed Judges/Evaluators, must stand up to the highest level of scrutiny and the comportment of Judges/Evaluators should manifest their status within dogsport. Judging/evaluating at Kennel Union-licensed events, or at events in foreign countries, requires the Judge/Evaluator to be a member of the Kennel Union and, apart from adherence to the normal Conditions of Membership, the Code of Ethics (Schedule 9) and the Terms and Conditions of Contract as per the Judging Contract (J1), Judges/Evaluators at any level shall be bound by this *Code of Conduct and Practice for Judges Licensed by the Kennel Union of Southern Africa*:

**1. In General:**

1.1. Judges/Evaluators shall maintain continuous and unbroken membership of the Kennel Union of Southern Africa throughout their judging/evaluating career as contemplated in Article 25.2 of the Kennel

Union Constitution.

1.2. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall be mindful of their elevated and esteemed status in dogsport and in all their actions and dealings set an example for all participants in the sport.

1.3. In their communications with Club or Show Officials, Exhibitors and Competitors, Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall act with dignity, authority and decorum and their conduct in all interactions shall be above reproach.

1.4. In accepting assignments, whether local or foreign, Judges/[Evaluators](#) act as ambassadors of the Kennel Union and in word and deed shall take the utmost care to neither embarrass themselves, nor the Kennel Union.

1.5. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall not accept an invitation to judge/[evaluate](#) unless they are sure, or have ascertained, that they are entitled to do so.

1.6. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall not accept an invitation to judge/[evaluate](#) unless they can declare with a reasonable degree of certainty that they would be able and fulfil the assignment and be fit to do so in accordance with the expectations of the show-holding Club, or entity.

1.7. Judges/[Evaluators](#) who need to withdraw from assignments, for whatever reason, need to give notice of their intentions to withdraw at the earliest possible opportunity and in a manner prescribed by their contract.

1.8. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall ensure that their arrangements regarding reimbursement of expenses by show-holding Clubs or entities are clear and unambiguous, thereby to avoid causing embarrassment or discontentment for either party.

1.9. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall not claim any expenses from a show-holding Club or entity to which they are not entitled and for which they cannot provide proof of entitlement.

1.10. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall embrace continued education as an integral part of their judging/[evaluating](#) ethic and undertake self-motivated learning throughout their judging/[evaluating](#) careers.

1.11. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall keep themselves apprised of changes to the Kennel Union's regulatory framework applicable to Judges/[Evaluators](#) and judging/[evaluating](#).

1.12. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall keep themselves apprised of changes to the FCI's regulatory framework applicable to Judges/[Evaluators](#) and judging/[evaluating](#) and apply these regulations in an FCI judging/[evaluating](#) environment unless they are in conflict with the Kennel Union's Regulations. Schedule 09-Code of Ethics (01.04.2020) 13

1.13. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall be meticulous in noting changes to Breed Standards of breeds they are licensed to judge/[evaluate](#) and diligently apply these changes once they become effective.

1.16. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall not solicit judging/[evaluating](#) assignments, either locally or overseas, which includes, without limitation, pleading, asking, petitioning or canvassing for an assignment, either directly, or through an intermediary, in any manner or by any means whatsoever, except for Licensed Judges/[Evaluators](#), Senior Judges/[Evaluators](#) and All-breeds Judges volunteering to assist Club Committees in filling the roles at Non-Championship Shows pursuant to Schedule 10 Appendix 1 Regulations 4.1.3, 5.1.4 and 6.1.4.

1.17. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall not solicit the entry of any Dog, Exhibitor and/or Competitor.

1.18. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall not travel to a show or event with a person who will be exhibiting a dog under them or otherwise competing in an event judged/[evaluated](#) by him.

1.19. Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall not accept accommodation from a person who will be exhibiting a dog under them or competing in an event judged/[evaluated](#) by them.

1.20. Prior to the show or event to be judged/[evaluated](#) by them, Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall avoid socialising with persons likely to compete under them.

1.21. If placed in an unavoidable compromising position as a result of a close relationship with an Exhibitor/Competitor, if and when practicable, Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall encourage the option of a "lap of honour", instead of subjecting dogs to assessment and placement for awards that may ultimately be deemed suspect.

1.22. It is suggested that, during a discernible period (at Judges/[Evaluators](#) discretion) preceding any judging/[evaluating](#) assignment, Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall avoid acting as a spectator to the judging/[evaluating](#) of dogs they are likely to judge/[evaluate](#) at such scheduled assignment.

1.23. In deference to the principles of neutrality and impartiality and in order not to show favour, Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall exercise the utmost discretion when discussing dogs likely to be judged/[evaluated](#) by them, or by other Judges/[Evaluators](#), with any person.

1.24. Similarly, in upholding the principles of neutrality and impartiality, Judges/[Evaluators](#) shall exercise sound judgement when commenting on dogs likely to be judged/[evaluated](#) by them, or by other

		<p>Judges/<u>Evaluators</u>, on Social Media, as not to reveal predilection or dislike.</p> <p>1.25. When commenting on dog- or dogsport-related issues, Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall use Social Media advisedly and prudently and confine their opinions to reasoned discourse based on fact, resisting speculation, innuendo and aspersion.</p> <p>1.26. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall not criticise the work of other Judges/<u>Evaluators</u>, either verbally, in print or on Social Media.</p> <p>1.27. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall refrain from engaging in offensive conduct or defamatory statements aimed at discrediting the Kennel Union or the FCI.</p> <p>1.28. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall be mindful of the fact that they derive their judging/<u>evaluating</u> licence from the Kennel Union and thereby assume the responsibility not to act in a manner which is inconsistent with the grant of such licence. A licence entrusted in good faith can be revoked when no longer deemed to be in the best interests of the licensor and it should be understood that KUSA will not countenance being besmirched and its reputation tarnished, by those holding its licences.</p> <p><b>2. In the Ring:</b></p> <p>2.1. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall be courteous, polite and respectful towards Exhibitors/Competitors, Ring Steward or Club Officials.</p> <p>2.2. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall be punctual for assignments and avoid inconveniencing show-holding Clubs, Exhibitors/ Competitors or Show Officials through lateness.</p> <p>2.3. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall not leave the showgrounds before they have completed all their assigned/contracted duties.</p> <p>2.4. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall not consult the show catalogue before or during a judging/<u>evaluating</u> assignment.</p> <p>2.5. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall assess dogs in conformation shows, or their performance in other forms of dogsport, in a thorough, competent, systematic and kind manner.</p> <p>2.6. When judging/<u>evaluating</u>, Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall exercise the highest degree of objectivity and discard any knowledge of a dog's background, previous accomplishments or ownership.</p> <p>2.7. Having regard for the weather, Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall dress in a manner which creates a neat, professional, yet comfortable, appearance in the ring, avoiding billowy attire, ostentatious headgear and bulky or noisy accessories.</p> <p>2.8. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall not smoke or drink alcohol in the ring and refrain from judging/<u>evaluating</u> while clutching a beverage.</p> <p>2.10. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall desist from addressing handlers by their first or last name in the ring.</p> <p>2.11. Judges/<u>Evaluators</u> shall complete all the requirements of an assignment diligently and efficiently, with due regard for any time constraints imposed by the show-holding Club.</p> <p><b>Motivation:</b> Both Trick Dog and Canine Good Citizen use the term "Evaluator" rather than "Judge" as these classes are designed to pass a dog following an assessment of their performance. Being non-competitive classes, the dogs are not placed 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and/or 3<sup>rd</sup>. Similarly, dogs are not "judged" in the ring but rather "evaluated". The proposed changes are to reflect the use of this term in both tests.</p> <p><b>For decision.</b></p>
	<b>5.22</b>	<b>Judges Education Council (JEC)</b>
	<b>5.22.1</b>	<b>Chairman's Report</b>
	<b>5.22.2</b>	<p><b>JEC Support Officer – Interim Appointment</b> (Fedco round robin – 09.09.2021 – 13.09.2021) <i>Round robin email generated to Fedco on 09 September 2021 and concluded on 13 September 2021. Proposal to permit the Judges Education Council (JEC) to engage experienced All-breeds Judge, Dr Heidi Rolfes, <b>on an interim basis</b>, to fulfil the functions of the <b>JEC Support Officer</b> – the position vacated by Mrs Gael Morison when she and her husband relocated to the UK. Dr Rolfes had kindly agreed to assist the JEC by fulfilling these functions for an indefinite period, until a permanent appointment was made.</i></p> <p><b>Background:</b> <i>When Mrs Gael Morison recently emigrated to the UK, she resigned from her position as Support Officer of the JEC. As the JEC's Support Officer, Mrs Morison fulfilled the essential function of signing off Learner Judges' Portfolios and officiated as External Examiner of requested re-marks of Learner Judges' exams.</i></p>



		<p>Until such time Fedco is in a position to appoint a replacement Support Officer, experienced All-breeds Judge, <b>Dr Heidi Rolfes</b>, has agreed to fulfil the functions previously performed by Mrs Morison until further notice. The JEC is extremely grateful to Dr Rolfes for being prepared to take time out from her busy career as a practising scientist to devote her expertise as former academic to assist with the education of Learner Judges on an interim basis.</p> <p>Fedco's consideration of the proposed interim arrangement would be greatly appreciated.</p> <p><b>For ratification.</b></p>
	<b>5.22.3</b>	<b>Schedule 10 – Any Matters related to Breed Judging</b>
	<b>5.23</b>	<b>Schedule 11 – Classification of Certain Branches of Dogsport as Companion Events</b>
	<b>5.23.1</b>	<p><b>Schedule 11A – Regulations for Canine Good Citizen (CGC)</b> (Fedco 06-2019 end of item 5.1; Fedco 12-2020 item 5.1.2; Fedco 06-2021 item 5.23.1)</p> <p>GR reminded Fedco that the Schedule, as presented by the Fedco Task Team, was incomplete and at this stage a work in progress. It was therefore not tabled for approval.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Proposed Schedule 11 A – Regulations for Canine Good Citizen</b> (incomplete)</li> </ul> <p><b>Document attached</b>  <b>Noted:</b> Additional changes are currently being drafted for the actual levels (Bronze, Silver and Gold). These will be presented for the Fedco 06.2022 meeting.</p>
	<b>5.23.2</b>	<p><b>Schedule 11B - Regulations for Scent Work</b> (Fedco 12-2020 item 5.20; Fedco 06-2021 item 5.23.3)</p> <p>Work in progress (KUSA Office).</p> <p>The Chairman reminded PM and AB that the Scent Work Schedule also needed to be checked for anomalies and quoted the above Minute from Fedco 12-2020 pertaining to Scent Work as well as Trick Dog Test.</p> <p>PM acknowledged that both these Schedules needed to be subjected to rigorous scrutiny by the KUSA Office and thought she might involve Nadine Shortland in this exercise. The Chairman declared this to be an excellent idea.</p> <p><b>Noted.</b></p> <p><b>Feedback required</b></p>
	<b>5.23.3</b>	<p><b>Schedule 11C – Regulations for Trick Dog Tests</b> (Fedco 06-2020 item 8.10, Fedco 12-2020 Item 5.19; Fedco 06-2021 item 5.23.2)</p> <p>The undertaking of the KUSA Office to check the latest version of the Trick Dog Test Schedule for compliance with KUSA Regulations an accordance with standard KUSA practices, was <b>noted</b>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Proposed amendments to Schedule 11C – regulations for Trick Dog Tests</b>  <u>Insertions underlined</u> and deletions in [<del>struck through square brackets</del>].</li> </ul> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Proposed Guidelines for Participants &amp; Evaluators – Trick Dog Test</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Document attached.</b></p>
<b>6</b>		<b>BREED/LIAISON COUNCILS</b>
	<b>6.1</b>	<b>Rottweiler Breed Council of KUSA (RBC)</b>
	<b>6.1.1</b>	<b>Chairman's Report – (attached)</b>
	<b>6.2</b>	<b>Federation of Boxer Clubs of SA Breed Council (FBCSA BC)</b>
	<b>6.2.1</b>	<b>Chairman's Report – (attached)</b>
	<b>6.3</b>	<b>GSD Liaison Council</b>
	<b>6.3.1</b>	<b>Chairman's Report – (AGM scheduled for 31 October after which the report will be distributed)</b>

7			<b>GENERAL</b>
8			<b>MATTERS BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE CHAIRMAN</b>
	8.1		<p>German Shepherd Dog Federation of South Africa (GSDFSA) vs Department of Agriculture, Land Reform &amp; Rural Development (DALRRD) (formerly Department of Agriculture, Forestry &amp; Fisheries – DAFF) and Others</p> <p>Update, if any.</p>
9			<p><b>DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS –</b>  <b>June Meeting – 24, 25 &amp; 26 June 2022</b>  <b>December Meeting – 2, 3 &amp; 4 December 2022</b></p>
10			<b>CLOSING</b>